

MAY 17 2006

Attorney's Docket No.: 06975-211001

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FACSIMILE:

TO: EXAMINER PIOTR POLTORAK

OFFICIAL FAX NO: (571) 273-8300

Number of pages including this page 2

Applicant : Lorin Sutton et al.
Serial No. : 10/059,147
Filed : January 31, 2002

Art Unit : 2134
Examiner : Piotr Poltorak

Title : Identifying Unwanted Electronic Messages

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attached to this facsimile communication cover sheet is Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form, faxed this 17th day of May, 2006, to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas A. Rozyłowicz
Reg. No. 50,620

Date: May 17, 2006

Fish & Richardson P.C.
1425 K Street, N.W.
11th Floor
Washington, DC 20005-3500
Telephone: (202) 783-5070
Fax: (202) 783-2331

40345070.doc

NOTE: This facsimile is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately call us collect at (202) 783-5070 to arrange for its return. Thank you.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No. : 10/059,147

First Named Applicant:

Lorin Sutton et al.

Examiner: Poltorak, Piotr

Art unit: 2134

Status of Application: Pending

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Tentative Participants:

(1) Thomas A. Rozylowicz

(2) Jeremy J. Monaldo

MAY 17 2006

Proposed Date of Interview: 5/2006

Proposed Time: (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description:

Issues To Be Discussed

| Issues (Rej., Obj., etc) | Claims Fig. #s | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) Rejection | 1 | Paul (6,052,709) in view of Cotten (6,330,590) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) _____ | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3) _____ | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (4) _____ | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

The combination of Paul and Cotten does not teach or suggest "if the security condition associated with the message reflects the indeterminate state, monitoring the message by: tracking a location of the message; inspecting at least one other message subsequent to the processing of the message; updating the stored data to indicate characteristics of the at least one other message that has been inspected; recategorizing the security condition of the message based on the updated stored data; and reprocessing the message based on the security condition," as recited in claim 1. See Office Action mailed April 26, 2006 at pages 6-7.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.



 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)